EFDC Householder & Other Minor Applications Check List

Application Details & Constraints										
Case Ref: EPF/0548/2		5	1	PL No: 031655						
Site Address	5:	33, Oak Lodge Avenue, Chigwell, IG7 5JA								
Proposal:		Demolition of existing garage, erection of front porch, two storey side, single storey rear part first floor rear extension and dormers. (Amending and combining previous applications).								
Green Belt		Yes □	No ⊠	ТРО			Yes □	No ⊠]	
Conservation Area		Yes □	No ⊠	Heritage Asset (List			Yes □	No ⊠]	
Flood Zone		Yes □	No ⊠	Enforcement			Yes □	No ⊠]	
Representat	tions									
Town/Parish Council Comments, if any: Chigwell Parish Council: 'The Parish OBJECTS on the grounds it considers the proposal to be bulky and of poor design, most notably the flat top and bulk of the rear dormer is discordant and not in keeping with the area'										
Objection	×	No Objec	tion 🗆	Comm	ent	\boxtimes	None Recei	ved		
Neighbour F	Responses, if	any:	None							
EFDC Environment EFDC EFDC EFDC Environment EFDC EFDC Environment EFDC EFDC Environment EFDC EFDC Environment EFDC EFDC EFDC Environment EFDC EFDC EFDC Environment EFDC EFDC Environment EFDC EFDC EFDC EFDC EFDC EFDC EFDC EFDC	onmental Pr ainage	rotection	No objection or required.	or conditions to request. Public sewer informative						
Planning Considerations										
Character and Appearance: The application site is a traditional two storey semi detached dwellinghouse located within a built up area of Chigwell. Surrounding character is similar in pattern and form using a mix of materials.										
The proposal is an iteration of previously approved schemes with addition of extending the rear box dormer across the full span of the roof plane.										
The main issue is the impact on the character and appearance of the extended dormer. At 8m width, and in combination with all other recently approved proposed development on the site, the proposal would result in a heavy overbearing form. Oak Lodge Avenue largely comprised hipped forms and whilst there are many examples of part double storey rear extensions, there is a distinct absence of large dormers. On balance, the impact of the roof composition is considered harmful to the visual amenity affecting the character and appearance of the setting and is not supported.										
Acceptable			Jnacceptable		\boxtimes	N/A				
Neighbouring Amenities: The proposal is unlikely to negatively impact neighbouring amenities.										
Acceptable		×	Jnacceptable			N/A				

EFDC Householder & Other Minor Applications Check List

Green Belt:									
Acceptable		Unacceptable			N/A			X	
Highway Safety/Parking:									
Acceptable		Unacceptable			N/A			\boxtimes	
Trees and Landscaping:									
Acceptable		Unacceptable			N/A			\boxtimes	
Comments on Representations, if any:									
Additional Notes:									
Officer Recommendation:	Approve			Refuse		X			