EFDC Householder & Other Minor Applications Check List

Application Details & Constraints										
Case Ref: EPF/0913/23				PL No: 03	31655					
Site Addres	s:	33 and 3	5 Oak Lodge Av	enue, Chigwell, IG7 5JA						
Proposal:		Two storey side extension, two storey rear extension following demolition of side garage at 33 Oak Lodge Avenue. First floor infill extension at 35 Oak Lodge Avenue.								
Green Belt		Yes □	No ⊠	ТРО		Yes □	No ⊠			
Conservation Area		Yes □	No ⊠	Heritage Asset (Listed)		Yes □	No ⊠			
Flood Zone		Yes □	No ⊠	Enforcement		Yes □	No ⊠			
Representations										
Town/Parish Council Comments, if any:										
Objection		No Obje	ction 🗵	Comment	t 🗆	None Recei	ved 🗆			
Neighbour Responses, if any: 1 objection from joint applicant at no. 35 summarised as: Loss of light from first storey infill.										
EFDC Enviro	onmental Pro rainage	tection		t the proposal will not significantly impact on the e water drainage arrangements.						
Planning Considerations										
Character and Appearance: The application sites are a pair of semi-detached dwellinghouses with front driveways and lawned areas, side access leading to private rear amenity space. Siting is to the northeast side of Oak Lodge Avenue a built-up urban area of Chigwell. Surrounding area is characterised by its linear street morphology mostly semi-detached dwellings using a mix of materials. The main issue for consideration is the design of the full width double storey rear extension sitting flush to the rear elevation with part gable end roof and part flat roof at no.33; and infill part first floor extension adjoining no.33 with flat roof. The proposed flat roof form above first floor is not supported. Roof forms must as far as possible match the existing or at least create synergy with the main roof. At 3.5m depth, the flat roof offers a heavy quality that does not integrate well with the existing building and is therefore not supported.										
Acceptable			Unacceptable		⊠ N/A					

EFDC Householder & Other Minor Applications Check List

Neighbouring Amenities: It is noted that since submitting, the applicant from no.35 objects to the proposal. Based on the assumption that both parties implement the proposal, there is no significant loss of neighbour amenity in terms of outlook, loss of light/privacy or overlooking. In the event of either party not implementing the proposal to the first floor, will deprive the other of light and create an enclosed and overbearing form of development to the other.										
Acceptable		Unacceptable	\boxtimes	N/A						
Green Belt:										
Acceptable		Unacceptable		N/A	\boxtimes					
Highway Safety/Parking:										
Acceptable		Unacceptable		N/A						
Trees and Landscaping:										
Acceptable		Unacceptable		N/A	\boxtimes					
Comments on Representations, if any: None										
Additional Notes:										
The proposed development due to its discordant flat roof form to the first-floor rear extension/ infill will result in adverse harm to the visual amenity and character and appearance of the setting. It is therefore recommended for refusal.										
Officer Recommendation:			Approve		Refuse ⊠					