EFDC Householder & Other Minor Applications Check List

Application Details & Constraints											
Case Ref:	EPF/1374/2	4	į.	PL No:	004538						
Site Addres	s:	5, Coolgardie Avenue, Chigwell, IG7 5AU									
Proposal:		Insert dormer into existing converted loft									
Green Belt		Yes □	No ⊠	ТРО			Yes □	No ⊠			
Conservation Area		Yes □	No ⊠	Heritage Asset (Listed)		Listed)	Yes □	No ⊠			
Flood Zone		Yes □	No ⊠	Enforcement			Yes □	No ⊠			
Representa	tions										
Town/Parish Council Comments, if any: Chigwell Parish Council comments: 'No objection although the Council NOTED with disappointment the absence of a Refurbishments and Extensions Sustainability Checklist and noted the proposal may not adequately comply with Policy DM9 A (iii) (sustainable design and construction), DM19 (sustainable water) and/or DM20 (the incorporation of low carbon and renewable energy measures) and/or fail to make sufficient contribution to meeting the EFDC objective of net zero by 2030 or 2050.'											
Objection		No Objecti	on 🗵	Comm	ent	X	None Recei	ved [
Neighbour Responses, if any: None											
Planning Considerations											
Character and Appearance: The application site is a two-storey detached dwellinghouse set on an irregular shaped plot at the at the junction with New Barn Way. It is located on the east side of the road as an end dwelling in a row of three. Surrounding area is of mixed character using a mix of materials. Consent is sought for a dormer extension to the rear roof slope with Juliet Balcony. The site is in a prominent corner position lying perpendicular to dwellings on New Barn Way. The submitted plans are out of scale therefore it is not possible to accurately determine the size. Measurements based on the scale provided indicates the proposed dormer extension at over 4.4m depth representing a size, scale and mass incongruent with the existing building and wider surroundings. The proposal is considered to result in significant harm to the visual amenity and to the character and appearance of the setting and is therefore not supported.											
Acceptable		□ Ur	nacceptable		\boxtimes	N/A]		
Neighbouring Amenities: The proposal due to its visual impact from the bulk and mass to the rear roof slope is likely to result in an overbearing form of development detrimental to adjacent neighbours.											
Acceptable		□ Uı	nacceptable		\boxtimes	N/A		[

EFDC Householder & Other Minor Applications Check List

Green Belt:									
Acceptable		Unacceptable	[N/A		×		
Highway Safety/Parking:									
Acceptable		Unacceptable	Γ		N/A		X		
Trees and Landscaping:									
Acceptable		Unacceptable	Γ		N/A		\boxtimes		
Comments on Representations, if any:									
Additional Notes:									
Having regard for the issues raised and as outlined above, the proposal is recommended for refusal.									
Officer Recommendation:	Approve			Refuse	\boxtimes				